

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,916	07/09/2001	Simon Tam	110031 4508	
25944	7590 01/23/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & B	ERRIDGE, PLC	LIANG, R	LIANG, REGINA	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
112211111111111111111111111111111111111			2674	13
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a grant to the second		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/899,91	6	TAM, SIMON				
		Examiner	-	Art Unit				
		Regina Lia		2674				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever ly within the statu will apply and will e, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 D</u>	ecember 20	<u>03</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>35-58</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>35-46, 49-58</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 47 and 48 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	3) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)[\square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12)								
Attachmen				(DTO 440) D= - 11 ()				
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	·		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/899,916

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 47, 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

As to claim 47, the original specification does not provide support "A driver circuit for a current driven element, comprising: a storage capacitor, a driving transistor of which is gate is connected to the storage capacitor" as is now claimed. Fig. 5 of the specification shows the gate of the driving transistor (TA or TB) is connected to \$1\$ or \$2\$, the gate of the driving transistor (TA or TB) is not connected to the storage capacitor (C12 or C15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 47, 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozawa et al (EP 0 895 219 hereinafter Ozawa) in view of Myers et al (US. PAT. NO. 3,443,151 hereinafter Myers).

As to claim 47, Figs. 15, 17, 19, 21 of Ozawa discloses a driver circuit for a current driven element, comprising a storage capacitor (cap), a driving transistor (20), and a data current according to a data signal flowing through the driving transistor so that an operating voltage of the driving transistor is set by the storage capacitor. Ozawa also discloses the circuit comprising a p-channel transistor (30 in Figs. 17, 19) or a n-channel transistor (30 in Fig. 15, 21) and a

Application/Control Number: 09/899,916

Art Unit: 2674

current driven element. Ozawa does not disclose the current driven element being disposed between the n-channel transistor and the p-channel transistor. However, Myers teaches a driver circuit for a current driven element comprising a n-channel transistor and a p-channel transistor, the current driven element is disposed between the n-channel transistor and the p-channel transistor (20 and 26 in Fig. 1, or 20' and 26' in Fig. 4, see col. 3, lines 37-39). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the driver circuit of Ozawa to have a p-channel transistor and a n-channel transistor, and the current driven element being disposed between the n-channel transistor and the p-channel transistor as taught by Myers so as to provide accurate control of the energization of the utilization devices.

As to claim 48, Myers teaches the n-channel transistor and the p-channel transistor are controlled by an identical signal.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 35-46, 49-58 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 47, 48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/899,916

Art Unit: 2674

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Regina Liang whose telephone number is (703) 305-4719. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4

ART UNIT 2674

RL 1/22/04